1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS			
2	AUSTIN DIVISION			
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) Docket No. A 12-CR-210(3) SS		
4	VS.) Austin, Texas		
5	JOSE TREVINO-MORALES) September 5, 2013		
6	TPANCCP	IPT OF SENTENCING		
7		HONORABLE SAM SPARKS		
8	APPEARANCES:			
9	For the United States:	Mr. Daniel M. Castillo Ms. Michelle E. Fernald		
10		Mr. Douglas W. Gardner Assistant U.S. Attorneys		
11		816 Congress Avenue, Suite 1000		
12		Austin, Texas 78701		
13		Ms. Diana Cruz-Zapata Assistant U.S. Attorney		
14		601 NW Loop 410, Suite 600 San Antonio, Texas 78216		
15	For the Defendant	Mr. Kirk F. Lechtenberger 2525 McKinnon Street, Suite 420		
16		Dallas, Texas 75201		
17	Interpreter:	Ms. Cristina Helmerichs		
18	Court Reporter:	Ms. Lily Iva Reznik, CRR, RMR 501 West 5th Street, Suite 4153		
19		Austin, Texas 78701 (512)391-8792		
20		(312) 331 3732		
21				
22				
23				
24				
25	Proceedings reported by comp produced by computer.	outerized stenography, transcript		

13:59:36	1	THE COURT: Mr. Lechtenberger.
13:59:38	2	MR. LECHTENBERGER: Yes, your Honor.
13:59:39	3	THE COURT: I want to get the record straight because
13:59:41	4	there's a couple of funny things that went on.
13:59:44	5	At one period of time, Mr. Finn and Ms. Williams, or
13:59:53	6	through Mr. Finn's office, forwarded an e-mail of objection or an
13:59:58	7	objection to the probation department and then, orally said that
14:00:03	8	he was not going to file it, and it was not filed; but it was
14:00:14	9	considered by the probation officer because at the time of his
14:00:17	10	original report, that's all he had.
14:00:20	11	And then, I've received from you, which I'm going to
14:00:24	12	hand to the clerk, but it's simply an e-mail from you indicating
14:00:28	13	that those objections, whatever they were, were withdrawn and
14:00:31	14	replaced with the ones that you filed. Is that correct?
14:00:35	15	MR. LECHTENBERGER: Yes, sir. That is.
14:00:36	16	THE COURT: Okay. I just wanted to make sure of that
14:00:38	17	as we proceeded on.
14:00:39	18	MR. LECHTENBERGER: I had coordinated that with your
14:00:42	19	U.S. probation officer, and I understood that was the preferred
14:00:44	20	process by the Court.
14:00:45	21	THE COURT: And it was appropriate.
14:00:47	22	MR. LECHTENBERGER: Yes, sir.
14:00:48	23	THE COURT: It's just I want the record to so reflect.
14:00:52	24	All right. The probation department Mr. Trevino,
14:00:57	25	have you gone over with your lawyer the presentence

investigation? 14:01:00 1 2 DEFENDANT TREVINO-MORALES: Yes, sir. 14:01:01 3 THE COURT: The probation department determined under 14:01:02 the guidelines a maximum base 43, with a criminal history of I, 14:01:12 4 for a range of way over the statutory maximum of 240 months. 14:01:18 5 I have no objections from the government. I have five 14:01:31 6 7 objections from the defense. First, that the base should be 14:01:37 between one million-seven-plus for a level of 16, which would --14:01:44 8 14:01:53 9 when you add the enhancements that are admitted would end up 10 being a 24, and a guideline range of 78 to 97 months, contesting 14:01:57 the 20 million-plus quideline. We've heard all of the evidence 11 14:02:16 12 on that. 14:02:22 14:02:22 13 And then, an objection on 2S1.(1)(b)(1) contesting that 14 Mr. Trevino did not know he was dealing with drug money. I won't 14:02:32 15 even hear arguments on that. I'll overrule that. Sophisticated 14:02:36 16 laundering, I've already overruled. So, counsel, I'd like to 14:02:41 17 hear your argument on base and the role. 14:02:48 18 MR. LECHTENBERGER: Your Honor, probably the best 14:02:50 19 argument I could make is what Agent Pennington said. Having been 14:02:51 20 here and hearing the Court address some of the unnamed 14:02:57 2.1 codefendants/confidential informants, it seems to me that what 14:03:01 14:03:06 22 Agent Pennington said effective amount, give or take, of \$2 million, that dovetails exactly into what I've said in objection 14:03:11 23 number one. I obviously anticipate the government will say that 24 14:03:14 relevant conduct is in play, that we need to consider what other 25 14:03:16

codefendants have said. They may have used the word "conspiracy" 14:03:20 1 14:03:24 with you. But at the end of the argument, Judge, I think the best 14:03:24 3 14:03:27 4 way to approach this is a hard and fast number, which we have, could either be 1.734 million, which is what I've suggested in 14:03:30 5 14:03:34 one or what Agent Pennington said in redirect by Mr. Gardner of 7 \$2 million. 14:03:40 THE COURT: Well, what do I do with the fact that we've 14:03:44 8 14:03:47 9 got over \$16 million in purchases, over \$8 million, whether 10 conservative or not, in expenses and all the horses end up with 14:03:57 Mr. Trevino? 11 14:04:00 12 MR. LECHTENBERGER: As far as the expenses, if I could 14:04:04 14:04:06 13 go in reverse order, Judge, a lot of those expenses, from what 14 I've read, had to do with horse dentistry, with upkeep, with 14:04:09 15 veterinarian care. I would argue that most of those, if not all 14:04:14 16 of those expenses actually were legitimate in regards to 14:04:18 17 fundamentally maintaining a horse stable in a professional 14:04:23 18 capacity. 14:04:26 19 THE COURT: Well, let's just throw out the idea, then, 14:04:26 20 that we know that the expenses were paid. We know -- I say we. 14:04:28 21 I'm sure you've reviewed the transcript. 14:04:34 2.2 MR. LECHTENBERGER: Yes, sir. 14:04:36 THE COURT: We know how they were paid over the period 14:04:37 23 24 of time. But on the government's argument, notwithstanding that 14:04:40 25 whatever they're going to argue, it seems to me that it's a whole 14:04:48

lot closer to \$20 million than 1.7. And all of the evidence that
loud:59

2 I heard at trial is that Mr. Trevino ended up with the better
loud:05:05

3 horses from scratch, that is, from nothing that he had into a big
loud:05:12

4 ranch with all the horses on it.

Notwithstanding all of the other arguments that good lawyers have made, those two factors I wanted to give you an opportunity to make your best argument on.

MR. LECHTENBERGER: Judge, could I have a moment?
THE COURT: Yes, sir.

MR. LECHTENBERGER: Your Honor, the best way I can phrase it, I believe that with the evidence that I've read that I understand, not being at trial, but having gone through the transcript, is a lot of the witnesses in my opinion perhaps did not have meritorious testimony, and obviously the twelve folks on the jury saw otherwise. But from a credibility standpoint, what's been brought up by some of the co-counsels here, I don't think lends itself to credibility of necessarily these individuals saying these things.

I note that Mr. Trevino -- hopefully was brought out through testimony that Mr. Trevino has been around horses at a young age from five years old. I think one of the character letters did talk about that. So, again, full circle here, Judge, probably the best way I could phrase it is that I don't necessarily think that the \$20 million represents fairly and accurately what his relevant conduct is, notwithstanding what the

14:06:48

14:06:52

14:06:54

14:06:56

14:06:57

14:07:00

14:07:04

14:07:08

14:07:11

3

4

5

7

9

codefendants have said, or the coconspirators, or the witnesses 1 for the government.

THE COURT: I'll hear from the government on the objection.

MR. GARDNER: On the amount, your Honor, the Court has heard and seen the exhibits that came into evidence, both the course of trial and here today. And I'm just looking at my notes, majority of the expenses were all for the benefit of the horses maintained by Jose Trevino's ranch, including 3.9 million of his own, 1.2 million, Southwest Stallion Station. I believe the Court heard that most of the horses there were maintained by Jose Trevino. And, also, as the Court is well aware, that over 400 horses of this organization's stock were in Mr. Jose Trevino's possession.

think there's any dispute that Jose Trevino is -- being the blood brother of the leader of the entire Zetas organization was the leader on this side of the border managing the horses. I think the fact that, as the Court noted, he gets the most valuable horses transferred into his name, that indicates that he is the director, if you will, for the United States subsidiary of the Zetas money-laundering organization.

Pennington today, that 25 million is a very conservative number. And as Mr. Lechtenberger points out, we are asking the Court to

LILY I. REZNIK, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER U.S. DISTRICT COURT, WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (AUSTIN)

14:08:10	1	consider not only as directly attributable to Jose Trevino, the
14:08:14	2	government's opinion is over \$20 million, but as the leader of
14:08:18	3	the organization, 1.3, he should be accountable for all of it.
14:08:24	4	THE COURT: All right. How about role? No, no. He
14:08:31	5	hasn't had the opportunity yet.
14:08:33	6	MR. GARDNER: Oh.
14:08:35	7	THE COURT: Do you wish to argue role?
14:08:39	8	MR. LECHTENBERGER: Judge, I think I'm going to stand
14:08:41	9	with what I've said before to you.
14:08:43	10	THE COURT: All right. Do you have anything else that
14:08:45	11	you want to say on the role?
14:08:47	12	MR. GARDNER: Not with respect to the objections, your
14:08:49	13	Honor.
14:08:51	14	THE COURT: Well, I have no trouble at all from the
14:08:53	15	evidence, particularly in the trial, that the evidence before me
14:08:56	16	that hasn't been ruled out that the appropriate factual finding
14:09:09	17	with regard to the calculated base is easily over 20 million, and
14:09:13	18	I overrule that objection. The objection under 2S1.(1)(b)(1),
14:09:19	19	I've already overruled. Sophisticated laundering objection, I've
14:09:24	20	already ruled.
14:09:26	21	On role, Mr. Trevino obviously exercised leadership
14:09:33	22	over his wife and his daughter, who also have been involved in
14:09:38	23	this lawsuit. His brother and at least, what, 13, 14 employees
14:09:46	24	running the property, keeping the house. I have no trouble
14:09:53	25	finding the role. I'll overrule that objection, which leaves the

calculation of the probation officer as it is. 14:10:00 1 Do you know of any legal reason, sir, we shouldn't 14:10:10 proceed with sentencing? 14:10:13 3 14:10:14 4 MR. LECHTENBERGER: No, sir. No legal reason, your Honor. 14:10:16 5 MR. GARDNER: Nor does the government, your Honor. 14:10:16 6 7 THE COURT: Mr. Trevino, you have the right to say 14:10:17 anything that you wish. You do not -- you're not required to say 14:10:19 8 14:10:23 9 anything, but you have that opportunity and I would offer to you 10 now. 14:10:27 11 DEFENDANT TREVINO-MORALES: Thank you, your Honor. 14:10:27 I appreciate your opportunity you give me today. On my 14:10:28 12 14:10:32 13 behalf, I want to say that I'm very sorry to be in here. I've 14 been embarrassed already. And that I want to say that according 14:10:41 15 to this -- if I might start from this Tempting Dash, the horse 14:10:47 16 that I bought for my wife and myself, and that from there on, 14:10:53 17 anything that I touch includes winning from race tracks to a 14:10:58 18 horse that I sold. It all includes winning \$4.2 million thrown 14:11:03 19 in from September 2009 until to date; and that from there, I make 14:11:10 20 expenses towards taking care of animals, breeding the animals, 14:11:18 21 feeding the animals. 14:11:22 2.2 And those \$4.2 million, 2.6 were race earnings. 14:11:24 like I said, I'm very sorry. And that it was in the trial that 14:11:32 23 24 I'm a Zeta. It was proved in trial that I don't have any 14:11:39 aggressive conduct to anybody. It was proved at trial that all I 25 14:11:43

14:11:48

14:11:53

14:11:58

14:12:01

14:12:09

14:12:17

14:12:19

14:12:23

1

5

7

8

did was working. Since all my life, I've been doing like twelve years prior to this 2009, building schools for the state of Texas from elementary all the way to college through different companies. So I was pretty much and still am real proud of that, building for the future of the kids of our country, and I always tell my kids to be proud of that and take advantage of what we built.

And that I feel, too, that my defense compromised my defense. The only attorney that I have -- only one contract between Mr. David Finn, who I know that he fails me a lot because out of 53 over witnesses, he only crossed eight. And he kind of trial this case for him. When I hired him to do that and he put all responsibility on the assistant and he only -- I mean, I can say that in ten months prior to trial, he only come and visit me ten times, none of them with any discovery at all. None of them with any straight to my defense. I just want to bring it up to your attention, your Honor.

misrepresented big time. And that I was in my right to present my witnesses, which we submitted on the 15th of April, and by the 18th, I provided funds to Mr. Finn to bring those witnesses to trial. On the April the 30th at 5:55 p.m., your Honor, I asked defense if we're ready for witnesses, and they confirmed that. And at Mr. Gardner request, he said that -- he asked my defense

U.S. DISTRICT COURT, WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (AUSTIN)

```
to provide the witness list -- final witness list due to the
14:13:56
          1
             extensity of witnesses, which I believe they provide to Mr.
14:14:00
             Gardner.
14:14:08
                        But on May the 1st, they rest, and I was denied my
14:14:08
          4
             right to testify on the stand and my witnesses -- I authorized
14:14:14
          5
             Ms. Williams to draw up the witness list. I believe that was
14:14:21
          7
             about 25 to 30 witness because the witness already the government
14:14:24
             called, and there were some of them on my list. But I don't
14:14:30
          8
14:14:37
          9
             understand why they deny my right to present my witness in my
         10
             defense and my right to testify on my defense, on my behalf. And
14:14:40
         11
             that I was told that some of my witnesses were going to testify
14:14:45
             against me, according to Mr. Gardner, which I don't know.
14:14:54
         12
14:14:58
         13
                        And I'm going to try -- I still want you, this court --
         14
             come to this -- I'm prepared in closing arguments --
14:15:04
         15
                        MR. LECHTENBERGER: Don't tell him --
14:15:04
         16
                        DEFENDANT TREVINO-MORALES: I'm sorry.
14:15:08
         17
                        THE COURT: That's all right, sir.
14:15:08
         18
                        DEFENDANT TREVINO-MORALES: And I think he was right.
14:15:11
             He didn't come prepared for this trial, Mr. Finn, and I'm sorry
         19
14:15:12
         20
             about that. I'm very sorry that if any bad behavior from my
14:15:15
             brothers, I apologize for that, too.
         21
14:15:21
14:15:25
         2.2
                        THE COURT: Counsel.
                        MR. LECHTENBERGER: Judge, our position has been that
14:15:29
         23
             Jose Trevino is not Miquel Trevino. Jose Trevino is not Omar
         24
14:15:31
             Trevino. In fact, even in the PSR, unfortunately, in paragraph
         25
14:15:36
```

111, it even mentions Jose Trevino's older brother Juan Trevino.

At the end of the day, I know that during the trial, from what

I've read, Jose Trevino was touched each and every moment by the
same paintbrush by the government. But I can tell you that my
reading and what I know about this man is that he's no Zeta.

In fact, your Honor, I believe the opposite is true.

And by way of example, I'll give you a couple of points here.

What we know from Jose Trevino is that he's law-abiding. He comes to this country in his early 30s, he complies with the law, he becomes a U.S. citizen. And I'm sure that this court has heard many, many illegal immigration cases. That's not the case with Jose.

We know that he, like many of us, goes out and gets a gun permit, and he does that through the state of Texas. He does it in a legal proceeding, the way it's supposed to be done. We know that he has no criminal history, and I think that's significant. This court, as you probably do on a weekly basis, if not on a daily basis, you see Criminal History Category V, VI. Not the situation with this man. We know from his letters and I thought the letters were very, very poignant. I thought they were to the point. I thought they even tug at some of the heartstrings. And I thought that the letters were, quite frankly, about as forthright and honest as I've seen in a long time.

We know that he's married, he has four children. He's

14:15:42 1 14:15:51 14:15:55 14:15:59 14:16:06 14:16:11 6 7 14:16:13 14:16:19 8 14:16:25 9 10 14:16:29 11 14:16:32 12 14:16:35 14:16:35 13 14 14:16:41 15 14:16:43 16 14:16:50 17 14:16:53 18 14:16:56 19 14:17:01 20 14:17:05 21 14:17:08 14:17:13 22 14:17:16 23 24 14:17:21 25 14:17:21

a soccer coach. He goes to high school with his daughter. His family, although they have been ostracized, they're in the back row, they're watching us right now. And I've made the conscious decision not to call any witnesses just for the sake of brevity as well as because I think that my argument holds weight with the Court. But they are here to show their support, notwithstanding the fact that Miguel is incarcerated by the Mexican federales, coming into the U.S. soon. Omar is still on the loose. That's not Jose.

We know through the letters that he takes people on fishing trips, that he gives needy families \$300, that he tries to do the right thing each and every day. And if you consider, your Honor, even in the light most favorable to the government at this time got started in 2008, I mean, the man's 46. He's a little younger than myself. So the vast majority of his life, he's been law-abiding. Now, does that excuse his conduct?

Absolutely not, and I'm not trying to excuse his conduct. I'm just trying to give the Court a little bit better perspective.

I want to close this way, Judge. I want to close this way. I think the true picture of Jose Trevino is what we've seen in the letters and some of the adjectives that I've pointed out and I had to write them down and to read them back to the Court. Humble, honest, trustworthy, hard-working, loyal, caring. I mean, any type of benevolent adjective that you can hear, that's in those letters, and that's the true picture of this man.

14:19:11	1	I anticipate the government will ask you for the
14:19:13	2	maximum. I anticipate Mr. Gardner will give a very eloquent
14:19:17	3	closing and say, give him 240 months, send a message to the
14:19:20	4	United States. Send a message to Omar. Send a message to
14:19:26	5	Miguel. And you very well may do that, your Honor, but I'm going
14:19:30	6	to ask you to take a chance and go against the grain here a
14:19:33	7	little bit.
14:19:33	8	What I put in my sentencing memorandum was to the
14:19:35	9	point, succinct. I'm going to ask you to give him ten years, 120
14:19:40	10	months. Under all the just punishments that I've seen in all my
14:19:45	11	short career being a lawyer, for this man right now, ten will do
14:19:51	12	the trick. That's all I have, Judge.
14:19:54	13	DEFENDANT TREVINO-MORALES: If I might, your Honor,
14:19:57	14	with all respect, here's the letter that I sent to Mr. Finn.
14:20:07	15	MR. LECHTENBERGER: I'll take care of that.
14:20:08	16	DEFENDANT TREVINO-MORALES: Asking for help, begging
14:20:10	17	for help and they're notarized there from Miguel, and he never
14:20:14	18	respond to me.
14:20:19	19	MR. LECHTENBERGER: I'll take care of that.
14:20:21	20	DEFENDANT TREVINO-MORALES: I need my family. Thank
14:20:25	21	you.
14:20:27	22	THE COURT: Mr. Gardner.
14:20:28	23	MR. GARDNER: Mr. Lechtenberger's right. The
14:20:30	24	government is asking for 240 months, not because his guidelines
14:20:33	25	set that but the Court also thinks the government also thinks

```
the Court has plenty of grounds under 3553 to grant a 20-year
14:20:36
          1
             sentence. When Mr. Lechtenberger says I'm painting Mr. Jose
14:20:40
             Trevino with the same brush, I'll carry that analogy a little
14:20:45
14:20:49
             farther because they come from the same bucket of paint.
                        The ability of this defendant to take that money,
14:20:51
          5
14:20:55
          6
             knowing how it was earned and the price of blood in which it's
          7
             covered with, fully justifies this court imposing a 20-year
14:20:59
             sentence, the statutory max. So whether it's a guideline
14:21:03
          8
14:21:06
          9
             sentence or it's a variance, the fact that the source and his
         10
             relationship to the brothers and the activity that he undertook
14:21:09
         11
             with that money here in the United States, on behalf of his
14:21:13
             brothers, fully justifies a 20-year sentence. That's all we
14:21:15
         12
14:21:19
         13
             have, your Honor.
         14
                        THE COURT: Probation have anything they wish to state?
14:21:20
         15
                        PROBATION OFFICER: No, your Honor.
14:21:22
         16
                        THE COURT: Does anybody else wish to speak at this
14:21:23
         17
             sentencing?
14:21:25
         18
                        Anything further? Mr. Trevino? Mr. Lechtenberger?
14:21:26
         19
                       MR. LECHTENBERGER: No. I think we've said what we
14:21:33
         20
             needed to say. Thank you, Judge.
14:21:35
         21
                        THE COURT: Mr. Trevino, nobody in this court has
14:21:36
         22
             alleged that you are a Zeta. Nobody's charged you with being a
14:21:40
             Zeta. You're charged with moving money of the Zetas, and there's
14:21:46
         23
             no question in my mind that that was done. The evidence was
         24
14:21:54
             pretty overwhelming. I don't think there was -- there were
         25
14:22:00
```

probably non-credible people but little non-credible evidence.

Didn't even take the jury a long time to convict those that they convicted.

The thing that bothers me the most is, did you have a chance to say no? There are other people in that category that are involved in this lawsuit, and that's one of the things that bothers me the most. But you did have the opportunity to say no. You just didn't. And you ended up involving your own family. And whether you knew about these murders or not, whether you knew about all of the other things that were going on, you were the funnel for most of it, and you ended up with all of the horses, and it's just beyond comprehension that you could do all that on your own, as was the defense that you put forward.

And I sentence you to 240 months in the custody of the Bureau of Prisons, followed by a three-year term of supervised release. I place the conditions of supervision in the standing order of this district for all supervision. In addition to that, the following special conditions. First off, I find there's a low risk, if any, of future substance abuse, and I waive mandatory drug testing conditions.

You will provide the probation officer access to any requested financial information that the probation officer requests. And you will execute any release to obtain financial information that's requested by the probation officer. You will disclose all assets and liabilities to the probation officer.

25

14:24:30

You will not transfer, sell, give away, or otherwise convey, any asset without first consulting the probation officer.

You will submit your person, property, house, residence, vehicle, papers, computers as defined by state and federal law and other electronic communication, or data storage devices, or media to a search conducted by a United States probation officer. Failure to submit to such a search may be grounds for revocation of release. The defendant shall warn any occupant that the premises that the -- that the premises may be searched, the condition -- subject to this condition. Any search must be conducted at a reasonable time and in a reasonable manner. And the probation officer may conduct such a search when he or she has a reasonable suspicion that you may have violated a condition of supervision or a violation of law.

Because of the length of the sentence and the harm to the family, I will waive the fine in the case. But I do have to assess a \$100 mandatory assessment under the Victims of Crime Act.

Counsel, I've had the probation department go through the indictment, and, of course, I will read the forfeiture if you wish. But what I suggest is we just attach a copy of the forfeiture to the judgment and not read it today, but that's your choice. I can read it if you wish.

MR. LECHTENBERGER: No. Your proposition is -THE COURT: Then I'll give this to the clerk. And I on

```
the forfeiture declare all of Jose Trevino-Morales' interest in
14:26:40
          1
             his property as forfeited to the United States.
14:26:45
                        I neglected to do one thing and that was to state that
14:26:52
          3
14:26:57
          4
             in addition to the presentence report and the supplemental
             report, I did receive, of course, the downward departure variance
14:27:00
          5
             request, which I reviewed and the letters I reviewed.
14:27:04
          7
                        MR. GARDNER: Your Honor, I just want --
14:27:17
                        THE COURT: Mr. Trevino, I'm going to give you a
14:27:18
          8
14:27:20
          9
             letter, which merely tells you what the law is, and your lawyer
         10
             will, of course, tell you what the law is. But I'm required to
14:27:27
             tell you that you have 14 days to tell your lawyer to file a
         11
14:27:34
         12
             notice of appeal if you wish to appeal any part of this case,
14:27:40
14:27:45
         13
             either the conviction, or sentence, or whatnot. You must tell
14:27:48
         14
             him within 14 days so he could file the notice of appeal.
         15
                        Do you understand that?
14:27:51
         16
                        DEFENDANT TREVINO-MORALES: Yes, sir. Thank you.
14:27:52
         17
                        MR. GARDNER: Your Honor, just clarification on the
14:27:53
         18
             list that you provided for the record. Was that the superseding
14:27:54
         19
             indictment containing all of the asset and forfeiture, substitute
14:27:57
         20
             money judgment?
14:28:01
         2.1
                        PROBATION OFFICER: Yes, sir.
14:28:03
         2.2
                        MR. GARDNER: Okay. Just wanted to make sure it was
14:28:05
             the complete thing that's included in the superseding indictment.
14:28:07
         23
                        PROBATION OFFICER: In the e-mail.
         24
14:28:10
         25
                       MR. GARDNER: Thank you.
14:28:11
```

14:28:12	1	THE COURT: Well, why don't the two of you look at it.
14:28:14	2	I'm going to make that suggestion to all. Counsel for the other
14:28:49	3	defendants, I have the same list, but, of course, it has your
14:28:54	4	client's name on it. The only difference between the one that
14:28:59	5	counsel are looking at over here.
14:29:04	6	MR. WOMACK: Sir, we have a copy of it.
14:29:06	7	THE COURT: That's what I thought. You got it with the
14:29:08	8	probation officer.
14:29:10	9	DEFENDANT TREVINO-MORALES: Your Honor, I've been
14:29:12	10	THE COURT: Wait, wait. Don't tell me anything. Tell
14:29:14	11	your lawyer, please.
14:29:15	12	MR. LECHTENBERGER: You can't talk. The Judge is
14:29:20	13	right.
14:29:20	14	DEFENDANT TREVINO-MORALES: I'm sorry.
14:29:49	15	MR. GARDNER: Your Honor, with respect to the Court's
14:29:51	16	Exhibit regarding the forfeiture, a couple of comments.
14:29:55	17	Essentially we provided Mr. Hellums the combined properties as
14:29:58	18	relates to Mr. Jose-Trevino, which we're fine with. And under
14:30:03	19	two, there's the real property located at 163 Rianna Woods in
14:30:07	20	Dale. That is Mr. Jesus and Mr. "Chevo" Huitron's property, and
14:30:14	21	the government is not seeking that forfeiture, based on Mr. Jesus
14:30:17	22	Huitron's acquittal. So we would abandon that forfeiture.
14:30:21	23	THE COURT: All right.
14:30:22	24	MR. GARDNER: Of most concern to the government, your
14:30:24	25	Honor, page 47 of the 47, 48 of the government's superseding

14:30:30	1	indictment, the government is asking for money judgment and
14:30:33	2	substitute assets, and that is not included within the document
14:30:37	3	the Court proposed to submit. So we would ask that the money
14:30:41	4	judgment for page 47 and the substituted assets for page 48 be
14:30:45	5	included as part of the asset forfeiture portion of this lawsuit.
14:30:51	6	THE COURT: It would be helpful if you would have asked
14:30:54	7	before today. This list is only to list the properties that Jose
14:31:24	8	Trevino's interest are part of.
14:31:28	9	MR. GARDNER: Yes, sir.
14:31:28	10	THE COURT: That's all. Now, if you have your motion
14:31:33	11	to substitute.
14:31:34	12	MR. GARDNER: To seek a money judgment for the amount
14:31:37	13	of the total. And I may better let the asset forfeiture
14:31:40	14	attorneys argue this if the Court wants to hear argument.
14:31:43	15	THE COURT: Well, I got a motion in one of these cases
14:31:46	16	but not in the others.
14:31:49	17	MS. CRUZ-ZAPATA: May I address the Court, your Honor?
14:31:50	18	THE COURT: I think you best.
14:31:53	19	MS. CRUZ-ZAPATA: Your Honor, I'm Diana Cruz-Zapata.
14:31:58	20	I'm one of the asset forfeiture AUSAs in the U.S. Attorney's
14:32:02	21	Office.
14:32:02	22	When the defense counsel waived a jury on all
14:32:05	23	properties, including in it and then, the money judgment is to
14:32:09	24	be determined in front of the Court in a hearing such as this.
14:32:13	25	So that is the reason why we did not file motion for money

```
judgment ahead of time, in order to present evidence for the
14:32:16
          1
             Court now to make that determination.
14:32:20
                        THE COURT: Well, you're very helpful. What is the
14:32:25
          3
14:32:30
             money judgment for substitution of the interest of the
             properties?
14:32:35
          5
                                           The money judgment is a calculation,
14:32:36
          6
                        MS. CRUZ-ZAPATA:
          7
14:32:38
             your Honor, of all the proceeds and the property involved.
                        THE COURT: Correct. Somebody ought to tell me what it
14:32:40
          8
14:32:42
          9
             is.
         10
                        MS. CRUZ-ZAPATA: Okay. Well, the money judgment in
14:32:43
             terms of what the calculations are includes the 25 million that
         11
14:32:45
             we assert is in the PSR, along with the -- it's above the 50
         12
14:32:50
14:32:56
         13
             million that has been testified to today with regards to the
         14
             confidential informant named "Pitufo." And the substitute assets
14:33:00
         15
             applies when -- if the money judgment is granted by the Court,
14:33:04
         16
             then we could go after substitute assets in order to apply
14:33:08
         17
             towards the money judgment. But that's what the money judgment
14:33:11
         18
             represents, your Honor.
14:33:14
         19
                        THE COURT: Well, but the parties have the right to
14:33:15
         20
             contest that, and at this point in time, they don't even know
14:33:18
         21
             what to contest because the Court doesn't know what you're asking
14:33:23
         2.2
             for.
14:33:25
                       MS. CRUZ-ZAPATA: I apologize to the Court --
14:33:26
         23
         24
                        THE COURT: You don't have to apologize to the Court.
14:33:28
             You filed one thing in Mr. Colorado-Cessa's for specific things
         25
14:33:30
```

```
to do with the airplanes, but you did not file anything in any of
14:33:37
          1
             the other cases that I'm aware.
14:33:41
                        MS. CRUZ-ZAPATA: I filed -- that's a civil case
14:33:43
          3
             against Mr. Colorado-Cessa's assets, civil asset forfeiture case.
14:33:45
          4
14:33:50
             So that's separate and apart, in that regard, from this case.
14:33:54
          6
                        However, your Honor, we did file on the defendants that
          7
14:33:58
             have pled quilty, we have filed motions for preliminary order and
             money judgments on those. But with regards to the defendants
14:34:02
          8
14:34:05
          9
             that went to trial, we did not file anything at this point
             because of the hearing that we're having today.
         10
14:34:08
         11
                        THE COURT: Mr. Trevino, if you wish, you can have a
14:35:12
14:35:14
         12
             seat.
14:35:14
         13
                        DEFENDANT TREVINO-MORALES: Thank you, sir.
         14
                        MR. LECHTENBERGER: Could I also sit down, Judge, or do
14:35:16
         15
             you want me to stand?
14:35:18
         16
                        THE COURT: Yes, sir.
14:35:19
         17
                        MR. LECHTENBERGER: Thank you, Judge.
14:35:20
         18
                        THE COURT: About as long as it takes an oak tree to
14:35:24
         19
             grow.
14:35:28
         20
                        Mr. Lechtenberger.
14:36:08
         2.1
                        MR. LECHTENBERGER: Sir.
14:36:10
         2.2
                        THE COURT:
                                     The government is seeking a motion for an
14:36:11
             entry of a money judgment in all of the cases wherein the
14:36:14
         23
             defendants pled guilty of $60 million. I suspect that it's like
         24
14:36:17
             many of these judgments that we have in the pornography cases for
         25
14:36:26
```

\$100 million that's never going to be paid, but that's what they have requested as a result of the plea agreement.

And it is -- it's a motion that in the event that the properties aren't obtained in the interest of the defendant and not obtained by the government, then this would be a money judgment that would protect up to \$60 million. It's a joint and several liability with all the defendants who have been convicted in this case or who have pled guilty.

So surprise to me, as well as surprise to you. If you wish, I can schedule a supplemental hearing if you need time to take that, or you can, of course, agree to it as a joint and several liability to the others.

MR. LECHTENBERGER: Would it be sufficient if I went with the third option, your Honor, and objected under procedural and substantive grounds as to any \$60 million joint and several liability? Or would that entail having a formal hearing again, which I would like to avoid?

I understand that if you overrule my request and go ahead and order the 60 million joint and several, and I understand how the forfeiture provisions have worked, however, I just have a real issue with a \$60 million -- he does his 20 years, he gets out on supervised release, and then, the Financial Litigation Unit out of Washington, D.C. comes after him. And then, for the rest of his life, if he lives to be 100 years old, will always have this over him.

THE COURT: Well, that's certainly a theoretical 14:38:30 1 possibility. I've never seen the unit do anything. But that's 14:38:34 the way it -- that's the alternative. 14:38:41 14:38:44 4 What says the government? The government want a separate hearing to where they can justify the \$60 million as a 14:38:49 5 substitute judgment? Or is the government satisfied with just 14:38:54 6 7 the objection by the defendant? 14:38:59 MS. CRUZ-ZAPATA: We stand by the objection by the 14:39:00 8 14:39:03 9 defendant, your Honor. And just so it's clear on the record that 10 the money judgment was included in the notice of forfeiture of 14:39:06 the indictment. 11 14:39:10 12 THE COURT: No. I understand that. 14:39:10 14:39:11 13 MS. CRUZ-ZAPATA: Okay. It was in all the evidence 14 that we have, your Honor, so we're not going to separate it. 14:39:14 15 THE COURT: All right. Then I will enter, over the 14:39:17 16 objection of the defendant, the money judgment of 60 million, 14:39:20 17 which will be a joint and several liability with all defendants 14:39:24 18 convicted in this case. 14:39:30 19 Counsel, I assume that Mr. Trevino, if you want to ask 14:39:35 20 him, he's going to want to be as close to Dallas as possible? 14:39:39 21 MR. LECHTENBERGER: Yes, sir. In fact, you read my 14:39:44 22 mind again, your Honor. Number five in my sentencing memorandum, 14:39:45 I ask for BOP placement somewhere preferably in Texas. 14:39:48 23 THE COURT: Seagoville is the closest. 24 14:39:52 MR. LECHTENBERGER: That would be perfect, your Honor. 25 14:39:54

14:39:56	1	THE COURT: You understand, Mr. Trevino, I don't have
14:39:57	2	the authority to order them to put you there. My judgment is,
14:40:02	3	though, that they will put you there, and they'll put you there
14:40:05	4	as soon as, wherever you go, they make a determination you're not
14:40:08	5	a danger to yourself or anybody else, they'll get you as close to
14:40:13	6	your family. So hopefully you'll get to see Seagoville, but if
14:40:18	7	you don't get Seagoville, don't get mad. Get with your
14:40:21	8	counselor, tell them about your family, and I'm sure they'll get
14:40:25	9	you to Seagoville very quickly.
14:40:28	10	DEFENDANT TREVINO-MORALES: Thank you, sir. And I
14:40:29	11	apologize to the Court and thank you.
14:40:31	12	THE COURT: All right.
14:40:33	13	MR. LECHTENBERGER: Your Honor, one last thing.
14:40:34	14	Just for purposes of any type of appeal, I understand
14:40:38	15	the 240 months and how the Court got to that, but I would object
14:40:41	16	procedurally and substantive as to the reasonableness of the
14:40:44	17	sentence. That's all I have to say.
14:40:45	18	THE COURT: Okay. And the objection is overruled. But
14:40:47	19	you didn't read I didn't read your mind well enough. I'm
14:40:51	20	going to seal the presentence investigation. Nobody can come in
14:40:54	21	and read about Mr. Trevino or his family. It becomes part of the
14:40:59	22	record if there is an appeal.
14:41:04	23	MR. LECHTENBERGER: Thanks, Judge.
14:41:05	24	THE COURT: And I'm going to attach the addendum there,
14:41:09	25	and the government may, of course, use theirs for official

```
1 purposes.
14:41:12
                        All right. I remand Mr. Trevino. Thank you, counsel.
14:41:16
                        MR. LECHTENBERGER: Can I stand down, your Honor?
          3
14:41:21
          4
                        THE COURT: You may.
14:41:22
                        MR. LECHTENBERGER: Thank you, sir.
          5
14:41:23
          6
                        (End of proceedings.)
          7
          8
          9
         10
         11
         12
         13
         14
         15
         16
         17
         18
         19
         20
         21
         22
         23
         24
         25
```

LILY I. REZNIK, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
U.S. DISTRICT COURT, WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (AUSTIN)

```
1
 2
 3
 4
    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT)
 5
    WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 6
 7
       I, LILY I. REZNIK, Official Court Reporter, United States
 8
    District Court, Western District of Texas, do certify that the
 9
    foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings
10
    in the above-entitled matter.
11
       I certify that the transcript fees and format comply with
12
    those prescribed by the Court and Judicial Conference of the
    United States.
13
14
       WITNESS MY OFFICIAL HAND this the 23rd day of October, 2013.
15
16
17
                                      /s/Lily I. Reznik
                                      LILY I. REZNIK, CRR, RMR
18
                                      Official Court Reporter
                                      United States District Court
19
                                      Austin Division
                                      501 W. 5th Street, Suite 4153
20
                                      Austin, Texas 78701
                                       (512)391-8792
                                      Certification No. 4481
2.1
                                      Expires: 12-31-14
22
23
24
25
```